

under the Land Acquisition Regulation.

Mr. G. Girmaji Rao
Kolar Taluk.

SUBJECT No. 42.

(a) Fixed grants to municipalities.

(b) Allotment of State Funds for the improvement of district headquarter towns.

Messrs. (a) B. Srinivasa Iyengar, R. Subba Rao, Anekal Taluk; and H. Gubbiah, Anekal Municipality; (b) C. Srinivasa Rao, Kadur District Board.

SUBJECT No. 43.

Reduction in the percentage of members of a District Board to give consent for the levy of the Education Cess.

Messrs. D. S. Mallappa and Chikkathimmaiya, Tiptur Taluk.

SUBJECT No. 44.

Revival of the levy of Mohatarfa tax in municipalities.

Messrs. Gubbi Komaraiya, K. Naranaappa, Hole-Narsipur Taluk and Bale Venkatasamaiya, Hole-Narsipur Municipality.

SUBJECT No. 45.

Charges for surveying minor municipal towns to be borne by the Government.

Mr. Bindu Madhavachar, Kankanhalli Municipality.

SUBJECT No. 46.

Collection of revenue in Village Panchayets.

Mr. K. Channabasappa Gowda, Shikarpur Taluk.

Summary of Representation—(a) It is requested that fixed annual grants for capital works may be given to all municipalities with a population of less than 10,000 or with an income less than Rs. 10,000 per annum, as it is being given to cities.

(b) A sum of four to five thousand rupees may be allotted from State Funds every year for the improvement of district headquarter towns.

Summary of Representation.—The content of 75 per cent of the members now prescribed by the rules as a condition precedent to the levy of the Education Cess may be amended and 50 per cent substituted in its places.

Summary of Representation.—The levy of professional tax in lieu of mohatarfa has not in any way improved the financial position of the municipalities. On the other hand, the petty traders who are illiterate are not in a position to maintain regular accounts and are put to great hardship as they are made to pay the tax on the sum estimated by the authorities. It is prayed that the levy of mohatarfa tax may be revived.

Summary of Representation.—Minor municipalities are now required to bear the cost of surveying the town. As most of the municipalities are in a very poor condition and unable to bear the expenditure, it is requested that the Government may be pleased to bear such charges.

Summary of Representation.—The collection work in Village Panchayet areas is very unsatisfactory. Shambhogs and patels of revenue villages refuse to undertake this work, since the commission given to them is very small and there is no provision in the rules to compel them to take up this work. As no other suitable agency is forthcoming in villages to undertake this collection

work, it is prayed that orders may be passed to make it compulsory on the part of the village officers to attend to the collection work in Village Panchayet areas.

SUBJECT No. 47.

Abolition of the post of the Chief Sanitary Inspector.

Mr. K. Ramegowda, Bowringpet Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The post of the Chief Sanitary Inspector recently created by Government is unnecessary and may be done away with, the District Medical Officer being entrusted with the duties of sanitation as heretofore. There will be a saving of Rs. 15,000 thereby.

MISCELLANEOUS—(REFORMS).

(Nos. 48 to 50.)

SUBJECT No. 48.

The basis of representation in rural and urban areas to the Representative Assembly to be the same.

Mr. Linge Gowda, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—The unit of representation in the Representative Assembly for both rural and urban areas may be ordered to be the same. As per recommendations of the Reforms Committee, every member of the rural area will represent 23,000 people, while every member in the urban area only 8,000 people. If the proposal is sanctioned, the rural areas will be inadequately represented.

SUBJECT No. 49.

Continuance of the deputation of Members to the Representative Assembly from District Boards and Municipal Councils.

Messrs. (a) H. Krishna Sastry, Krishnarajpete Taluk; P. R. Lakshminaranappa, Mugur Municipality; Kadeem Venkatasubbiah, Talkad Municipality and Puttanna, T. Narsipur Municipality; (b) N. Gurulingappa and K. Basavalingappa, Honnali Taluk; Y. K. Virupaiya, Nyamti Municipality; and Esuff Khan, Kumsi Sub-Taluk.

Summary of Representation.—(a) It is prayed that District Boards and Municipal Councils may not be made to lose representation in the Representative Assembly.

(b) The Reforms Scheme does not provide for the deputation of members from the municipalities to the Representative Assembly. It is therefore prayed that the existing privilege may be continued.

SUBJECT No. 50.

A seat for the Indian Christian Community in the new Legislative Council.

Mr. J. R. Isaac, Indian Christian Association, Bangalore.

Summary of Representation.—A seat may be allotted in the new Legislative Council for the Indian Christian Community.